DOCKET NO. 07-1011

UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

PETER TINKHAM, ET AL. V. DOREEN R. KELLY, ET AL.

ON PETITION FOR A RE-HEARING FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 1st CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR A RE-HEARING

PETER FRANCIS TINKHAM, PRO SE
JULIET BAIRD ALEXANDER, PRO SE
POST OFFICE BOX 92
WELD, MAINE 04285
207-364-3964

Sometimes the quickest way to clarity for a petitioner requesting a re-hearing of his petition for a writ of certiorari is to briefly restate the obvious. Notwithstanding the penetrating simplicity of Petitioners' argument that there is a state agency trampling the 4th Amendment rights of families in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts WITH IMPUNITY, aided and abetted by this Court's adoption and implementation of the perfidious doctrine of "gender neutrality", it is now clear to even constitutional lawyers with room temperature I.Q.'s that this Court's refusal to hear and decide this matter has constitutional ramifications for the rest of the country.

The intervening cause which commands the attention of every citizen who holds his Constitutional rights dear, and Petitioners trust that this includes the Supreme Court, is the seizure and constructive arrest of 463 children (plus or minus) by the State of Texas in the month of April, 2008, four months after Petitioners' request for a writ of certiorari was filed. A petition, it should be recalled, that brought the issue of a state agency exterminating the constitutional rights of parents under color of law to the attention of this Court.

This new episode of state tyranny, reminiscent of a feudal regime, or perhaps a Soviet gulag, although it is colored by the depravity of polygamous "families", is a direct lineal descendant in two ways of the Supreme Court's adoption and employment of "ungender" in the early 1990's and the most perfidious exemplar of the giant moral leap backward that this Court executed in Lawrence V. Texas. It is not a coincidence that Texas, bamboozled by the staggering implications

granted constitutional protections by the highest judicial authority in the land.

The structural damage that this Court has inflicted on this country cannot be overestimated and cannot be undone. It can, however, be mitigated and granting Petitioners a writ of certiorari is a good place to start. Doing so will enable you to reconsider and reject the foolish and constitutionally dangerous practice of "gender neutrality", to reverse the sordid reality of Lawrence V. Texas, and to strike down every state law in this country that allows social service agencies to unconstitutionally dismember families.

While you are at it, granting a writ in this case will certainly authorize revisiting the myriad ways in which this Court has sanctioned, all by itself, the dismemberment of helpless children, some of them only moments away from taking their first breath. The Constitution, which you have sworn to uphold and which grants life and liberty to every citizen, wills it. Almighty God, whose protection you are accustomed to invoking for yourselves, demands it.

Respectfully submitted,

Peter Francis Tinkham

Peter Francis Tinkham, Pro Se

Juliet Baird Alexander

Juliet Baird Alexander, Pro Se

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

We, the undersigned, solemnly affirm that this petition for a re-hearing is presented in good faith and not for purposes of delay. We further certify that the grounds for this petition are limited to an intervening event of great moment which constitutes a circumstance that should have a controlling effect on the consideration by this Court of the original petition for certiorari, docketed February 5, 2008. Therefore, this petition for a re-hearing is submitted in compliance with the requirements of Rule 44, paragraph 2, of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure concerning the Supreme Court.

Peter Francis Tinkham

Peter Francis Tinkham, Pro Se

Juliet Baird Alexander

Juliet Baird Alexander, Pro Se